Instructor: Meghan Delaney   **Semester: Spring 2018**
Campus: University of Hawaii at Manoa   **Department: East Asian Languages & Lit**
Course: KOR102   **Crn (Section): 87554 (003)**

1. Class Level (pick one) - please note that by answering this question you could potentially jeopardize your anonymity									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad	Other
University of Hawaii at Manoa	3.12	25792	1.41	Freq(%)	3563 (14%)	3869 (15%)	6425 (25%)	7006 (27%)	3494 (14%)	751 (3%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	2.86	13388	1.39	Freq(%)	2405 (18%)	2512 (19%)	3314 (25%)	3443 (26%)	987 (7%)	364 (3%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	2.94	2179	1.4	Freq(%)	313 (14%)	407 (19%)	553 (25%)	580 (27%)	177 (8%)	70 (3%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	2.88	1351	1.4	Freq(%)	214 (16%)	269 (20%)	320 (24%)	359 (27%)	103 (8%)	37 (3%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	2.25	12	0.62	Freq(%)	1 (8%)	7 (58%)	4 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

2. Course - please note that by answering this question you could potentially jeopardize your anonymity						
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Elective	Required
University of Hawaii at Manoa	1.64	25792	0.56	Freq(%)	7197 (28%)	17493 (68%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	1.59	13388	0.57	Freq(%)	4375 (33%)	8481 (63%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	1.55	2179	0.58	Freq(%)	788 (36%)	1297 (60%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	1.58	1351	0.57	Freq(%)	453 (34%)	843 (62%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	1.62	13	0.51	Freq(%)	5 (38%)	8 (62%)

3. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of course content.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.68	25792	0.67	Freq(%)	106 (0%)	161 (1%)	926 (4%)	4944 (19%)	19555 (76%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.69	13388	0.67	Freq(%)	67 (1%)	89 (1%)	456 (3%)	2519 (19%)	10213 (76%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.79	2179	0.59	Freq(%)	10 (0%)	6 (0%)	39 (2%)	273 (13%)	1843 (85%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.84	1351	0.51	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (1%)	156 (12%)	1173 (87%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	5.0	13	0.0	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (100%)

4. The instructor fulfilled the goals of the course.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.57	25792	0.79	Freq(%)	175 (1%)	347 (1%)	1428 (6%)	5984 (23%)	17732 (69%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.57	13388	0.79	Freq(%)	96 (1%)	166 (1%)	739 (6%)	3070 (23%)	9248 (69%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.7	2179	0.7	Freq(%)	11 (1%)	15 (1%)	79 (4%)	353 (16%)	1709 (78%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.75	1351	0.64	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	7 (1%)	35 (3%)	198 (15%)	1100 (81%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	5.0	13	0.0	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	13 (100%)

5. The instructor communicated effectively.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.42	25792	0.96	Freq(%)	370 (1%)	850 (3%)	2108 (8%)	5811 (23%)	16471 (64%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.42	13388	0.96	Freq(%)	193 (1%)	426 (3%)	1080 (8%)	3021 (23%)	8572 (64%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.6	2179	0.83	Freq(%)	17 (1%)	40 (2%)	110 (5%)	378 (17%)	1618 (74%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.66	1351	0.77	Freq(%)	7 (1%)	21 (2%)	53 (4%)	220 (16%)	1041 (77%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.92	13	0.28	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	12 (92%)

6. I deepened my interest in the subject matter of this course.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.27	5385	1.04	Freq(%)	97 (2%)	231 (4%)	667 (12%)	1327 (25%)	3020 (56%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.24	4212	1.05	Freq(%)	83 (2%)	191 (5%)	539 (13%)	1051 (25%)	2313 (55%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.47	1474	0.9	Freq(%)	12 (1%)	28 (2%)	144 (10%)	313 (21%)	966 (66%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.47	1351	0.88	Freq(%)	12 (1%)	24 (2%)	132 (10%)	291 (22%)	884 (65%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.15	13	0.99	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	2 (15%)	4 (31%)	6 (46%)

7. Which aspects of the course were most valuable?

Review sessions

Practicing the lesson through different activities. Free talking, only when people knew their material. The homeworks were very helpful and it is nice that we dont get bombarded with it every day

I really enjoyed the enthusiasm of the class and, even though it was a small class, the teacher was very clearly trying her best to make the class fun and exciting.

I enjoyed working with my classmates to do activities that gave us practical applications of Korean Language

There was a lot of useful information to practice. The course material mostly consisted of vocabulary and grammar points I see myself using on a trip to Korea.

She really tried to make sure we understood, and took time even as busy grad student to care for her students individual needs, I also got better at speaking in korean

The organization of the course was most valuable.

The lecture slides, tests and quizzes, and the workbooks.

The most valuable aspects of this course was the lectures and the activities that made the class more engaging.

Some of the activities we did helped enhance our learning and grasp on different grammar points.

good study guides and resources to reference when studying.

Being able to apply the material learned through communicating with other classmates, and having the teacher constantly ask the students to apply what we're learning and asking us whether we understand or not, we're all very helpful throughout the semester.

8. Which aspects of the course were least valuable?
Some partner activities
Nothing that i can think of at the moment.
The speed at which we learned new lessons was more of a hindrance than a benefit.
The way assignments and quizzes were set up to be completed. The quizzes were taken before the course material was even touched on with the class and homework assignments were due in the middle of the next chapter. It felt like things were all over the place.
how fast the power points went by
The amount of information that we are required to learn is too much for the short amount of time given, which makes the pace of the course is too fast.
Some of the activities didn't help me much because we just do it once and the grammar just doesn't stay in my brain.
The least valuable aspects of this course was the amount of grammar that us, students, needed to learn in a short amount of time.
Having too many examples on grammar points before testing our knowledge on them.
N/A
Having a group discussion activity, I found was the least valuable.

9. The instructor was available for consultation with students.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.55	5721	0.82	Freq(%)	34 (1%)	53 (1%)	419 (7%)	1196 (21%)	3974 (69%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.6	2922	0.76	Freq(%)	15 (1%)	13 (0%)	199 (7%)	598 (20%)	2081 (71%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.63	1808	0.7	Freq(%)	6 (0%)	9 (0%)	113 (6%)	358 (20%)	1316 (73%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.63	1351	0.69	Freq(%)	3 (0%)	6 (0%)	87 (6%)	272 (20%)	979 (72%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.69	13	0.63	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	2 (15%)	10 (77%)

10. The course objectives were clear.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.59	2438	0.81	Freq(%)	13 (1%)	26 (1%)	109 (4%)	540 (22%)	1726 (71%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.62	1701	0.78	Freq(%)	7 (0%)	18 (1%)	64 (4%)	356 (21%)	1240 (73%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.65	1361	0.73	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	11 (1%)	45 (3%)	287 (21%)	1003 (74%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.65	1351	0.71	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	11 (1%)	45 (3%)	287 (21%)	995 (74%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.75	12	0.45	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (25%)	9 (75%)

11. The instructor presented the course materials in a clear and organized way.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.48	2296	0.89	Freq(%)	24 (1%)	58 (3%)	156 (7%)	543 (24%)	1501 (65%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.49	2154	0.88	Freq(%)	24 (1%)	52 (2%)	146 (7%)	504 (23%)	1416 (66%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.61	1356	0.77	Freq(%)	10 (1%)	15 (1%)	64 (5%)	275 (20%)	985 (73%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.61	1351	0.77	Freq(%)	10 (1%)	15 (1%)	64 (5%)	275 (20%)	980 (73%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.85	13	0.38	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (15%)	11 (85%)

12. The instructor was consistently well-prepared and organized for class.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.5	11236	0.85	Freq(%)	100 (1%)	174 (2%)	724 (6%)	2792 (25%)	7363 (66%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.53	4737	0.84	Freq(%)	42 (1%)	78 (2%)	285 (6%)	1116 (24%)	3187 (67%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.6	1819	0.81	Freq(%)	10 (1%)	26 (1%)	84 (5%)	370 (20%)	1313 (72%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.64	1351	0.74	Freq(%)	4 (0%)	16 (1%)	54 (4%)	265 (20%)	1003 (74%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.92	13	0.28	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	12 (92%)

13. The amount of work required is appropriate for the credit received.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.52	1942	0.87	Freq(%)	15 (1%)	53 (3%)	105 (5%)	425 (22%)	1330 (68%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.58	1468	0.81	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	31 (2%)	76 (5%)	305 (21%)	1041 (71%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.57	1351	0.82	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	30 (2%)	72 (5%)	281 (21%)	953 (71%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.57	1351	0.82	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	30 (2%)	72 (5%)	281 (21%)	953 (71%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.54	13	0.88	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	3 (23%)	9 (69%)

14. Global appraisal: Considering everything how would you rate this INSTRUCTOR?

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.52	9009	0.88	Freq(%)	86 (1%)	177 (2%)	635 (7%)	1820 (20%)	6219 (69%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.51	4679	0.87	Freq(%)	38 (1%)	96 (2%)	346 (7%)	1003 (21%)	3164 (68%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.67	1817	0.71	Freq(%)	7 (0%)	15 (1%)	75 (4%)	321 (18%)	1389 (76%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.69	1351	0.67	Freq(%)	4 (0%)	8 (1%)	49 (4%)	248 (18%)	1036 (77%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.69	13	0.48	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	4 (31%)	9 (69%)

15. The instructional materials (e.g., texts, handouts, etc.) were relevant to course objectives.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.55	4456	0.85	Freq(%)	37 (1%)	59 (1%)	224 (5%)	1035 (23%)	3059 (69%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.54	2563	0.83	Freq(%)	15 (1%)	34 (1%)	127 (5%)	633 (25%)	1729 (67%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.63	1801	0.75	Freq(%)	9 (0%)	17 (1%)	63 (3%)	392 (22%)	1307 (73%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.64	1351	0.74	Freq(%)	6 (0%)	13 (1%)	39 (3%)	293 (22%)	990 (73%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.69	13	0.85	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	0 (0%)	1 (8%)	11 (85%)

16. The instructor was fair in grading and criteria of grades.

	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.47	5127	0.93	Freq(%)	38 (1%)	90 (2%)	348 (7%)	1251 (24%)	3329 (65%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.46	3740	0.92	Freq(%)	28 (1%)	68 (2%)	253 (7%)	947 (25%)	2395 (64%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.67	1369	0.78	Freq(%)	4 (0%)	9 (1%)	38 (3%)	251 (18%)	1050 (77%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.67	1351	0.78	Freq(%)	4 (0%)	9 (1%)	38 (3%)	246 (18%)	1037 (77%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.77	13	0.44	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (23%)	10 (77%)

17. Global appraisal: Considering everything how would you rate this COURSE?									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.41	8772	0.95	Freq(%)	112 (1%)	223 (3%)	753 (9%)	2187 (25%)	5417 (62%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.39	4774	0.93	Freq(%)	46 (1%)	109 (2%)	455 (10%)	1298 (27%)	2824 (59%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.58	1801	0.75	Freq(%)	7 (0%)	17 (1%)	99 (5%)	431 (24%)	1237 (69%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.58	1351	0.75	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	11 (1%)	68 (5%)	340 (25%)	919 (68%)
CRN (sec) 87554 (003)	4.31	13	0.75	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (15%)	5 (38%)	6 (46%)

18. Other comments:
There was SO much grammar in the course that it can prevent students from wanting to continue on.
I like how that there is a lot of grammar points and expressions to be learned in each lesson, but the material is split up between each day so that it's not as difficult. The course material is good and helpful as it really gives us everything we need. Overall I like the Korean program as it is right now
I wasn't sure last semester if I would continue taking Korean, but I'm so glad I stuck through with it. The professor was great and very approachable. It's upsetting when some students don't show up or participate, but the teacher still tried very hard to make the class work and flow smoothly.
The class went too fast, I felt like I was getting left behind
The instructor cared about each student's success in the class as well as their understanding of the information. She was accommodating when necessary and kind always. She also acknowledged her own mistakes and found solutions that wouldn't inconvenience the students. If she wasn't sure about something she'd look it up and answer the question at a later date, so she was extremely helpful.
She should teach more!
The workbook isn't helpful and there should be more one-on-one opportunities to practice speaking and listening to Korean, rather then just the 2 minute conversations with peers in class.
Although this class was a bit hard and overwhelming, I enjoyed learning the Korean language and the culture as well.
N/A
The instructor was very knowledgeable in their field of study. Although I found it odd for a graduate student to be teaching the class, I still managed to learn a lot throughout the semester. The teacher expressed great enthusiasm when teaching the material which I found very nice to see see in an instructor. It helped to keep the class engaged and made learning the material to be fun and enjoyable.