



Instructor: Meghan Delaney
Campus: University of Hawaii at Manoa
Course: KOR101

Semester: Fall 2017
Department: East Asian Languages & Lit
Crn (Section): 73181 (003)

1. Class Level (pick one) - please note that by answering this question you could potentially jeopardize your anonymity										
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Freshman	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad	Other
University of Hawaii at Manoa	3.14	25740	1.41	Freq(%)	3417 (13%)	4108 (16%)	5943 (23%)	7384 (29%)	3464 (13%)	730 (3%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	2.85	12988	1.4	Freq(%)	2378 (18%)	2574 (20%)	2891 (22%)	3397 (26%)	1100 (8%)	291 (2%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	3.05	2602	1.45	Freq(%)	315 (12%)	466 (18%)	593 (23%)	704 (27%)	329 (13%)	76 (3%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	2.94	1432	1.41	Freq(%)	182 (13%)	273 (19%)	337 (24%)	412 (29%)	121 (8%)	36 (3%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	2.18	11	1.4	Freq(%)	3 (27%)	6 (55%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)

2. Course - please note that by answering this question you could potentially jeopardize your anonymity						
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Elective	Required
University of Hawaii at Manoa	1.65	25741	0.56	Freq(%)	6821 (26%)	17848 (69%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	1.6	12988	0.56	Freq(%)	4142 (32%)	8333 (64%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	1.53	2602	0.6	Freq(%)	936 (36%)	1521 (58%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	1.56	1432	0.61	Freq(%)	457 (32%)	889 (62%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	1.82	11	0.4	Freq(%)	2 (18%)	9 (82%)

3. The instructor demonstrated knowledge of course content.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.67	25740	0.69	Freq(%)	120 (0%)	182 (1%)	921 (4%)	5160 (20%)	19255 (75%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.67	12988	0.68	Freq(%)	57 (0%)	89 (1%)	443 (3%)	2631 (20%)	9717 (75%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.74	2602	0.63	Freq(%)	10 (0%)	12 (0%)	86 (3%)	383 (15%)	2102 (81%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.8	1432	0.55	Freq(%)	2 (0%)	6 (0%)	31 (2%)	181 (13%)	1208 (84%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.91	11	0.3	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	10 (91%)

4. The instructor fulfilled the goals of the course.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.54	25740	0.81	Freq(%)	224 (1%)	370 (1%)	1490 (6%)	6300 (24%)	17227 (67%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.54	12988	0.81	Freq(%)	122 (1%)	170 (1%)	751 (6%)	3205 (25%)	8679 (67%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.64	2602	0.73	Freq(%)	11 (0%)	26 (1%)	130 (5%)	500 (19%)	1924 (74%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.69	1432	0.69	Freq(%)	4 (0%)	16 (1%)	62 (4%)	231 (16%)	1114 (78%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	5.0	11	0.0	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (100%)

5. The instructor communicated effectively.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.38	25741	0.98	Freq(%)	444 (2%)	919 (4%)	2295 (9%)	6057 (24%)	15864 (62%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.37	12988	0.99	Freq(%)	232 (2%)	454 (3%)	1156 (9%)	3132 (24%)	7925 (61%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.52	2602	0.86	Freq(%)	27 (1%)	56 (2%)	187 (7%)	526 (20%)	1793 (69%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.56	1432	0.83	Freq(%)	16 (1%)	32 (2%)	86 (6%)	271 (19%)	1023 (71%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	5.0	11	0.0	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (100%)

6. I deepened my interest in the subject matter of this course.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.23	5314	1.07	Freq(%)	125 (2%)	227 (4%)	716 (13%)	1298 (24%)	2907 (55%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.17	4252	1.09	Freq(%)	112 (3%)	205 (5%)	631 (15%)	1049 (25%)	2225 (52%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.39	1591	0.99	Freq(%)	26 (2%)	51 (3%)	155 (10%)	338 (21%)	1009 (63%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.4	1432	0.99	Freq(%)	22 (2%)	45 (3%)	136 (9%)	309 (22%)	908 (63%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.4	10	1.26	Freq(%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (20%)	7 (70%)

7. Which aspects of the course were most valuable?
Going over the lecture notes and doing activities that helped us practice sentence structures in Korean.
I love how she does the activities and always answers questions and corrects us while also giving an explanation. She is also very positive in teaching and would definitely recommend to other students planning on taking this course
Practicing speaking
class practice
The speaking and practical application were best. It's the hardest to do, but the most rewarding.
Professor Delaney was very knowledgeable with the Korean culture. The way she related culture to us was very relative because she is not native to it so she helped others who were in the same situation understand.
The practice days were very beneficial.
Home room sessions were overall very valuable and important because it was our time to really ask questions and break down what we learned in lecture over 3 class sessions. It made retaining the information a lot easier and less overwhelming because we were able to take the time to have it elaborated or clarified.
Learning the vocabulary words and the structure of speech. Grammar was also a large part of the course, as well as overall continuous exposure to the language.
The quizzes and also the online workbook.

8. Which aspects of the course were least valuable?
There weren't any parts of the course that was least valuable, everything was valuable in learning Korean and speaking it.
None
Nothing
The speed.
vocab tests
Unfortunately the online course material could not be worked out due to technical difficulties. It had much potential.
None
The quizzes mostly made me cram to study the words and then I forgot them the next day.
I thought every part of the course was valuable.
Culture only because we did not learn much about culture, focusing mainly on language.
Dictation.

9. The instructor was available for consultation with students.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.5	5343	0.85	Freq(%)	27 (1%)	50 (1%)	458 (9%)	1269 (24%)	3489 (65%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.51	2847	0.84	Freq(%)	16 (1%)	22 (1%)	235 (8%)	671 (24%)	1878 (66%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.55	1887	0.81	Freq(%)	9 (0%)	13 (1%)	148 (8%)	412 (22%)	1291 (68%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.57	1432	0.74	Freq(%)	4 (0%)	7 (0%)	113 (8%)	317 (22%)	985 (69%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	5.0	11	0.0	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (100%)

10. The course objectives were clear.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.46	2567	0.95	Freq(%)	35 (1%)	64 (2%)	177 (7%)	579 (23%)	1686 (66%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.56	1664	0.83	Freq(%)	10 (1%)	30 (2%)	88 (5%)	355 (21%)	1168 (70%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.58	1438	0.81	Freq(%)	9 (1%)	24 (2%)	78 (5%)	301 (21%)	1017 (71%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.58	1432	0.81	Freq(%)	9 (1%)	24 (2%)	77 (5%)	301 (21%)	1012 (71%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.73	11	0.47	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (27%)	8 (73%)

11. The instructor presented the course materials in a clear and organized way.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.4	2365	0.97	Freq(%)	39 (2%)	75 (3%)	181 (8%)	577 (24%)	1474 (62%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.38	2121	1.0	Freq(%)	39 (2%)	73 (3%)	173 (8%)	499 (24%)	1319 (62%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.5	1432	0.91	Freq(%)	20 (1%)	36 (3%)	96 (7%)	293 (20%)	978 (68%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.5	1432	0.91	Freq(%)	20 (1%)	36 (3%)	96 (7%)	293 (20%)	978 (68%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.82	11	0.4	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2 (18%)	9 (82%)

12. The instructor was consistently well-prepared and organized for class.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.45	10689	0.92	Freq(%)	138 (1%)	214 (2%)	797 (7%)	2650 (25%)	6797 (64%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.46	4093	0.91	Freq(%)	51 (1%)	69 (2%)	302 (7%)	995 (24%)	2640 (65%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.55	1894	0.85	Freq(%)	21 (1%)	33 (2%)	122 (6%)	381 (20%)	1327 (70%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.61	1432	0.78	Freq(%)	13 (1%)	25 (2%)	76 (5%)	263 (18%)	1051 (73%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	5.0	11	0.0	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (100%)

13. The amount of work required is appropriate for the credit received.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.33	2260	1.07	Freq(%)	66 (3%)	80 (4%)	188 (8%)	534 (24%)	1370 (61%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.47	1549	0.92	Freq(%)	18 (1%)	35 (2%)	109 (7%)	352 (23%)	1021 (66%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.47	1432	0.92	Freq(%)	15 (1%)	33 (2%)	102 (7%)	330 (23%)	938 (66%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.47	1432	0.92	Freq(%)	15 (1%)	33 (2%)	102 (7%)	330 (23%)	938 (66%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.36	11	1.29	Freq(%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	1 (9%)	8 (73%)

14. Global appraisal: Considering everything how would you rate this INSTRUCTOR?									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.5	8777	0.88	Freq(%)	82 (1%)	151 (2%)	644 (7%)	1954 (22%)	5871 (67%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.49	5098	0.88	Freq(%)	45 (1%)	80 (2%)	411 (8%)	1174 (23%)	3348 (66%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.55	2159	0.86	Freq(%)	13 (1%)	33 (2%)	143 (7%)	422 (20%)	1527 (71%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.6	1432	0.81	Freq(%)	9 (1%)	25 (2%)	81 (6%)	252 (18%)	1056 (74%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	5.0	11	0.0	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	11 (100%)

15. The instructional materials (e.g., texts, handouts, etc.) were relevant to course objectives.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.55	3978	0.82	Freq(%)	23 (1%)	46 (1%)	212 (5%)	952 (24%)	2710 (68%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.53	2511	0.84	Freq(%)	17 (1%)	34 (1%)	139 (6%)	625 (25%)	1674 (67%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.6	1880	0.77	Freq(%)	7 (0%)	19 (1%)	88 (5%)	424 (23%)	1327 (71%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.61	1432	0.76	Freq(%)	5 (0%)	17 (1%)	63 (4%)	305 (21%)	1032 (72%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.45	11	0.69	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	4 (36%)	6 (55%)

16. The instructor was fair in grading and criteria of grades.									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.53	4157	0.86	Freq(%)	23 (1%)	51 (1%)	254 (6%)	976 (23%)	2806 (68%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.55	3190	0.83	Freq(%)	18 (1%)	39 (1%)	179 (6%)	750 (24%)	2174 (68%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.6	1435	0.85	Freq(%)	8 (1%)	18 (1%)	71 (5%)	266 (19%)	1055 (74%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.6	1432	0.85	Freq(%)	8 (1%)	18 (1%)	70 (5%)	266 (19%)	1053 (74%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.73	11	0.47	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	3 (27%)	8 (73%)

17. Global appraisal: Considering everything how would you rate this COURSE?									
	Mean	N-Size	Std Dev		Very Poor	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
University of Hawaii at Manoa	4.43	7490	0.94	Freq(%)	88 (1%)	167 (2%)	615 (8%)	1797 (24%)	4749 (63%)
Colleges of Arts & Sciences	4.42	3917	0.93	Freq(%)	46 (1%)	89 (2%)	323 (8%)	998 (25%)	2427 (62%)
Arts&Sciences--Lang.,Ling.&Lit	4.51	1883	0.86	Freq(%)	11 (1%)	45 (2%)	134 (7%)	425 (23%)	1256 (67%)
East Asian Languages & Lit	4.51	1432	0.85	Freq(%)	9 (1%)	39 (3%)	101 (7%)	318 (22%)	958 (67%)
CRN (sec) 73181 (003)	4.64	11	0.92	Freq(%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	0 (0%)	1 (9%)	9 (82%)

18. Other comments:
<p>Definitely a great teacher! Very fun and would recommend to everyone.</p>
<p>Let me just say this: Megan was an amazing teacher. She was dedicated and very helpful!</p>
<p>However, the Kor 101 course is setup for students to fail. The speed at which the course is taught does an injustice to the students. Korean is not a Romance language, and as such, can NOT be taught at the same speed as one. This is not my first experience with language acquisition, nor is it my first experience working with East Asian Language. I -strongly- believe the course needs serious reconsideration and reworking from a foundation level. I believe if this is done, success rates and comprehension will skyrocket. The course is too intensive for even experienced language learners. This course, and others like it in the East Asian Language department discourage students from long-term language acquisition, and burn out any interest by inundating them with mounds of vocabulary and grammar with unreasonable deadlines. UH 101 languages courses specify that they are designed for people with NO prior experience learning them, and are advertised as such in the course catalogs. This is clearly -very- misleading. UH, please fix your approach to East Asian Languages, as you are detouring potential linguists, translators and interpreters from finding joy and passion within your courses.</p>
<p>It is my personal and critical observation that this course is fundamentally broken.</p>
<p>Excellent professor, engaging, and well organized. I think highly of this professor. She is meant to be teacher and a very good one with much potential.</p>
<p>Some of the vocab we used was not included in our textbook and it kind of threw me off a little. I wish to see more of the vocab implemented into the textbook so that if students miss a day they aren't completely lost with new phrases used.</p>
<p>Also, Professor Delaney was very down to earth and fun-loving but serious about learning. She made me feel very comfortable and at ease despite all the homework and script rehearsal in this class. I would gladly be her student again if she were teaching KOR 102</p>
<p>I thought it was really nice to have a teacher who also went through the process of learning the language as a second language compared to a native speaker because it helped with explanations of the content.</p>
<p>Meghan was an amazing instructor who made me enjoy the language much more than when I first started. I honestly wish I could take other classes with her.</p>